1 Workers' Compensation Full Board Meeting Minutes. 2 3 Date: Tuesday, November 18, 2025 328 State Street, Schenectady, NY 4 Location: Room 324 5 Webcast Live 6 10:00 a.m. Time: 7 8 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD Freida D. Foster, Chair Renee L. Delgado, Esq., Vice Chair 9 Robert Bergin 10 Peter De Jesús, Jr. Martin M. Dilan Steven A. Crain, Esq. 11 Clarissa M. Rodriguez, Esq. 12 Pamela Caggianelli Maria Matos 1.3 Gloribelle Perez, Esq. Gina Sillitti 14 Samuel G. Williams (Appeared remotely per Public Officers Law $\S 103-a[2][c]$ 15 Mark Higgins 16 SECRETARY TO THE BOARD Laura Inglis 17 GENERAL COUNSEL 18 Quinn Rapp-Ellis, Esq. 19 ASSISTANT ATTORNEY Benjamin Jacobs, Esq. 20 GUEST SPEAKER 2.1 Janet Draina, WCB Internal Control Officer/Interim Language Access Coordinator 22 23 24

1	FREIDA FOSTER: Good morning and welcome,
2	everyone. Thank you for joining us. I'm
3	Freida Foster, Chair of the New York State Workers'
4	Compensation Board. And today's Full Board meeting is
5	being broadcast live for the members of the public.
6	Welcome. It is also facilitated by an American Sign
7	Language interpreter. Thank you also for joining us.
8	I now have the honor of calling the 1,048th
9	meeting of the Full Board to order. Madam Secretary,
10	roll call.
11	LAURA INGLIS: Vice Chair Delgado.
12	RENEE DELGADO: Here.
13	LAURA INGLIS: Board Member Higgins.
14	MARK HIGGINS: Here.
15	LAURA INGLIS: Board Member Williams.
16	SAMUEL WILLIAMS: Here.
17	LAURA INGLIS: Board Member Crain.
18	STEVEN CRAIN: Here.
19	LAURA INGLIS: Board Member Rodriguez.
20	CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Here.
21	LAURA INGLIS: Board Member Caggianelli.
22	PAMELA CAGGIANELLI: Here.
23	LAURA INGLIS: Board Member Dilan.
24	MARTIN DILAN: Here.

11/18/2025

1	LAURA INGLIS: Board Member Perez.
2	GLORIBELLE PEREZ: Here.
3	LAURA INGLIS: Board Member Bergin.
4	ROBERT BERGIN: Here.
5	LAURA INGLIS: Board Member De Jesús.
6	PETER DE JESÚS: Here.
7	LAURA INGLIS: Board Member Matos.
8	MARIA MATOS: Here.
9	LAURA INGLIS: Board Member Sillitti.
10	GINA SILLITTI: Here.
11	LAURA INGLIS: Madam Chair, Board Member
12	Williams is joining us remotely today.
13	FREIDA FOSTER: Thank you. All right.
14	Agenda Item 1. You've all received a copy of the
15	October 21st, 2025 meeting minutes to review. Are
16	there any questions or need for a discussion? Hearing
17	none, is there a motion to approve?
18	MARK HIGGINS: Yes, Madam Chair. Board
19	Member Mark Higgins. I move that we approve the
20	minutes
21	FREIDA FOSTER: Thank you.
22	MARK HIGGINS: of the last meeting.
23	FREIDA FOSTER: Thank you, Board Member
24	Higgins. May I have a second?

11/18/2025

1 CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: I second that motion, Madam Chair. 2 3 FREIDA FOSTER: Thank you, Board Member 4 Rodriguez. Is there any opposition? All in favor? ALL: Aye. 6 Any opposed? FREIDA FOSTER: I'm also a 7 yes. That motion is passed. Next item on the agenda 8 is the Office of General Counsel Departmental Report. 9 General Counsel Quinn Rapp-Ellis will present that 10 report for us. 11 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello. 12 QUINN RAPP-ELLIS: Thank you. Good morning. 1.3 Thank you, Madam Chair, Madam Vice Chair, Board 14 Members. Following are the statistics for November 2025 for the three divisions in the Office of General 15 16 Counsel, sorry, actually, for October 2025. 17 Adjudication Division held 18,088 hearings and 18 resolved 11,850 cases at hearing, issued 367 Reserve 19 Decisions, held 1,508 Pre-Hearing Conferences, 20 finalized 2,032 Waiver Agreements. 2.1 The Administrative Review Division received 997 22 applications for review, processed 1,197 applications, 23 and there are 8,072 applications for administrative

review pending.

1	Finally, the Legal Affairs Division received 119
2	applications for review and processed 75 applications.
3	There are 261 applications for review pending. Thank
4	you.
5	FREIDA FOSTER: Thank you. Thank you. Are
6	there any questions or a need for discussion? Hearing
7	none, may I have a motion to accept the Office of
8	General Counsel's Departmental Report?
9	PAMELA CAGGIANELLI: Madam Chair, this is
10	Board Member Caggianelli, and I request that we
11	approve the Office of General Counsel Departmental
12	Report.
13	FREIDA FOSTER: Thank you, Board Member
14	Caggianelli. Is there a second?
15	MARIA MATOS: Madam Chair, I'm Board Member
16	Matos, I I second.
17	FREIDA FOSTER: Thank you very much, Board
18	Member Matos. Is there any opposition? Hearing none,
19	all in favor?
20	ALL: Aye.
21	FREIDA FOSTER: Any opposed? I am also a
22	yes. That motion is passed. Agenda Item 3, License
23	Applications. Assistant Attorney Benjamin Jacobs will
24	now present those Licensing Applications. Good

1 morning.

1.3

2.1

2.4

BENJAMIN JACOBS: Good morning, Madam Chair and Madam Vice Chair, Board Members and colleagues.

You have before you the recommendation from the Assigned Board Panel regarding the Licensing Applications listed in Part 3 of today's agenda.

Under Section 24-a, Mark R. Burman, a three-year renewal. Under Section 50(3) -- 50(3-b) James P. -- James P. Regan Agency, Incorporated, qualifying officer Michael Kudarauskas, Esquire, a three-year renewal. Under Section 50(3-d), Cervus Claims Solution, qualifying officer Anna Widger, Esquire, three-year renewal, and the Mutual Group Insurance Services, LLC, qualifying officer Matthew Mead, Esquire, a one-year initial license. I present these recommendations to the Board for your consideration.

FREIDA FOSTER: Thank you, Mr. Jacobs. Are there any questions or need for discussion? Hearing none, may I have a motion to accept the recommendations?

STEVEN CRAIN: Board Member Crain, move to accept the recommendation.

FREIDA FOSTER: Thank you, Board Member Crain. Is there a second?

1 (Parties speaking simultaneously.) PETER DE JESÚS: 2 Board Member De Jesús, I 3 second. 4 FREIDA FOSTER: Thank you, Board Member Perez. Is there any opposition? Hearing none, all in 6 favor? 7 ALL: Aye. Any opposed? I am also a FREIDA FOSTER: 8 9 That motion is passed. Next item on the agenda 10 is Legal Appeals. Our general counsel joins us once 11 again and will present the recommendations concerning 12 the decisions of the Board. 1.3 QUINN RAPP-ELLIS: Thank you, Madam Chair. 14 Since the last Board meeting, the Appellate Division 15 Third Department has issued four decisions on cases on 16 appeal from a decision of the Board. You can find 17 those decisions in Items 4A through 4D on your agenda. 18 It is the recommendation of the Office of General 19 Counsel that these decisions be adopted as decisions 20 of the Board. 2.1 FREIDA FOSTER: Thank you very much. May I 22 have a motion to accept the -- the recommendations of 23 the Office of General Counsel? 2.4 PETER DE JESÚS: Madam Chair, Board Member

1	De Jesús. I make a motion to accept.
2	FREIDA FOSTER: Thank you very much, Board
3	Member De Jesús. May I have a second?
4	PAMELA CAGGIANELLI: Madam Chair, this is
5	Board Member Caggianelli and I second that motion.
6	FREIDA FOSTER: Thank you, Board Member
7	Caggianelli. Is there any opposition? Hearing none,
8	all in favor?
9	ALL: Aye.
10	FREIDA FOSTER: Any opposed? I am also a
11	yes. That motion is passed. There are no items to
12	review. We'll go on to Item 5. And so now I turn
13	this portion over to Renee Delgado. Good morning.
14	RENEE DELGADO: Good morning. Thank you,
15	Madam Chair. For the case listed in Item 6A on your
16	agenda, it has been recommended that Full Board Review
17	be granted. That case is 6A, G3221502, Matter of
18	New York City Department of Corrections. I move to
19	refer Case 6A back to the respective Panel for further
20	consideration.
21	FREIDA FOSTER: Thank you, Vice Chair
22	Delgado. Is there a second?
23	MARTIN DILAN: Board Member Dilan, I second.
24	FREIDA FOSTER: Thank you, Board Member

Dilan. Does anyone need to be recused from this case?

Is there any opposition? Hearing none, all in favor?

ALL: Aye.

FREIDA FOSTER: Any opposed? I am also a yes. That motion is passed. There is no other business; however, on Agenda Item 8 we are -- have our guest speaker. Our guest speaker today is Janet Draina. Janet leads both the Board's Office of Internal Control and the Office of Language Access serving from the Schenectady office.

Janet began her career in State service in 2005 spending over 16 years with the Office of State -- of the State Controller. She joined the Board as the Internal Control Officer in 2022, and in this role uniquely supports all 46 plus units working to promote effectively and efficiently of operations ensuring compliance with applicable laws and regulations and encouraging adherence to critical policies and procedures.

Janet began serving as Interim Language Access
Coordinator in 2024 and works with the Office of
General Services to ensure Board compliance with the
requirements of the law. In addition, Janet also
confirms Board's compliance with Project Sunlight and

1.3

2.1

manages a staff of three. Welcome, Janet.

1.3

2.1

2.4

JANET DRAINA: Thank you so much, Madam

Chair. So I'm sure that my voice is going to betray

me with the nerves, but I am super excited to be able

to be here and talk to you guys.

As you mentioned, I always refer to my team as a small but mighty team of three, because we're a very small team but we get a lot done. We're part of the offered -- Office of Intergovernmental and Regulatory Services led by Juanita Perez, who's joining me today. Let me make sure I can -- all right.

So today I'm presenting specific to the Language Access portion of what my team does. First, I'm going to give you an overview of what Language Access is in case you're unfamiliar. And then I'm going to talk to you a little bit about the improvements that we've made and where we see ourselves going in the future.

So what is Language Access? So I didn't know until I started fulfilling this role that New Yorkers speak more than 800 languages. To me that was a staggering number. Millions of New Yorkers don't speak English as their primary language and have limited ability to read, write, speak and understand it. So Language Access services provides access to

interpretation or translation in someone's preferred language. And that takes the form of over-the-phone or video remote or even in-person interpretation, written translation, American Sign Language or ASL interpretation, closed captioning and subtitling. And there's actually even more than that.

Who benefits from Language Access services? So many. Our injured workers obviously, but even

New York State employers and employees, and it touches most of the work units here at the Board.

Why do we provide these services? So it'd be really easy for me to say, well, it's the law and be done. It is the law. I have cited the law there for you, and it requires executive state agencies that provide direct public service to provide interpretation in any language upon request and written translation in the top 12 common non-English languages all at no cost to the user.

But my team doesn't look at it from that vantage point. The way we look at it is that we help by providing services related to Language Access that will eliminate the barriers that many individuals would face in trying to navigate the Workers' Compensation system without it.

11/18/2025

1.3

2.1

So how do we provide Language Access? If I move, is that okay? All right.

LAURA INGLIS: Be careful --

JANET DRAINA: So a lot of you --

LAURA INGLIS: -- touching the screen

though.

1.3

2.1

2.4

JANET DRAINA: I won't touch the screen, I promise. So a lot of you have probably seen it and maybe never even noticed. On the Board website you'll notice at the bottom of each page you have this little navbar. If you click on any of those, it'll translate whatever page you're viewing into that language.

Also, we're required to translate vital documents, the forms and documents that are most important in the Workers' Compensation system. And you'll see a similar navbar when you go on our forms page. And if you click on any of those links, it'll bring up the form in your translated, preferred language.

Speaking of forms, our Employee Claim Form, the C-3, which is probably one of the most important forms or documents that a claimant may touch, you'll see right here in Number 7, when you need a translator, if you have to attend a Board hearing, and then you can

say, if yes, for what language.

Once that's documented, in addition to getting the interpretation that they need when they need it at a hearing, should one come about, we can also leverage that information. It's included in the claimant's case file. So a claims examiner can go ahead and translate documents to and from English on behalf of that claimant if it's needed. Call center representatives and advocate office staff know that they'll have to have a phone interpreter on the line if they need to make contact with one — one of our claimants. And a lot of the internal staff leverage the use of our services once they see that that's included in a case file.

So now that I've given you the Cliff Notes

version of what Language Access is, I'd like to tell

you a little bit about what we've done thus far since

Language Access came under my purview, what we're

working on right now and where we see ourselves headed

in the future.

When Language Access first came under my purview, the -- one of the things that I saw right away that there was -- there was a gap between the data that we have and the ability to see and analyze it. So we

1.3

2.1

collects a lot in our internal systems. My team collects a lot of documentation in terms of what we do day to day, but it was just sitting on a shelf somewhere and not being used. So we immediately engaged with our data management and analytics unit and asked them for help in how we could use this data to our advantage, and they suggested an easy-to-interpret dashboard.

So this dashboard now allows us to display and analyze the information that we collect every day.

Again, some of it is from our internal systems and some of it is manual data that my team collects in our day-to-day business. This has enabled us to in -- in -- improve our requests for quotations when we solicit for vendors to bid if they want to do business with us. It's allowed us to justify changes to contract rankings if someone's not pulling their weight. And it also has allowed us to take a critical eye to our internal processes and make some amends to those if we feel that there's a better way for us to do business.

Also, a little fun fact, or as the teenagers say,
I think they'd say a humble brag. I was presenting at
a Language Access Coordinators quarterly meeting and

1.3

2.1

mentioned that we had created a dashboard. And they
were, like, oh, we want to make one of those. Can we
come talk to you? So we actually got on a call with
the statewide Language Access Office at OGS and some
of the -- their data analysts with the -- the DMA
team, and we talked them through what we've been
doing, and they're -- they're going to be modeling
quite a bit of that for their own dashboards.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And your (inaudible).

JANET DRAINA: So here is a -- a glimpse of

JANET DRAINA: So here is a -- a glimpse of the dashboard. And I know this is really small, I'm sorry. This is looking at hearings with interpreters versus hearings without interpreters for the last 24 months. Each of these bars represents one month. If you look at just the past month, this is October, and I know it's hidden a little bit, there were 21,362 hearings, 4,222 of which required an interpreter. That's consistent across all 24 months of an average of about 20 percent of our hearings require interpretation, which again, was a stunning figure to me when I first became involved in Language Access.

Another slide taken from our dashboard. This tells you where the interpretation is taking place by district. So if you look here, we have Queens,

11/18/2025

1.3

2.1

Manhattan, Brooklyn, Long Island. If you look, they take up the majority of the pie; 90 percent, 90 percent of our hearings with interpreters come out of our downstate districts. So when we saw that, we knew that that sheer magnitude had to be considered moving forward.

So in early 2 -- 2025, we decided that we were going to repeat the over-the-phone interpretation RFQ, because we knew that by this point by collecting all the data and analyzing what we collected, we would be able to build a more robust RFQ with more mandatory requirements, more preferred elements, and we knew that we could get a better package this way.

We worked with Contracts and Adjudication, since they're really our power user in terms of over-the-phone interpretation. We had eight vendors respond and we knew that Adjudication can really only get through three in a case and that's pushing it. So that allowed us to say, all right, we're going to take the top five and we can eliminate the three that we can see right off the rip are not going to meet our needs.

In order to take the burden off of any one contractor, that's when we decided to take that

1.3

2.1

magnitude of the 90 percent into consideration.

Rather than award one primary, we awarded two. And the way that we worked it is we knew that we couldn't just divide the state in half and say, upstate use this, downstate use that, because the majority of the usage is from downstate. So we found by looking at it from a little bit of a simpler lens that if we just divided it in half alphabetically, that would put two of our downstate districts in A through M and the other two at the end of it.

So what -- what we did is we divided it alphabetically and we had half of the districts using one primary, half using the other. What I am even happier to report is that it's working. So this is our deficiencies reported in 2025. Now, to -- to us a deficiency is any issue with service. That would be a disconnection on the phone, poor interpretation quality, if someone has to wait an inordinate amount of time to get an interpreter on the line.

So this was from February. This was when we were still operating under the 2024 contracts. And you can see the percentage deficiencies, 72 percent deficient, 13, 8, 5, 2. Not too bad when you get down towards the bottom of that, 72 and that 13, that's pretty

1.3

2.1

egregious.

1.3

2.1

So this is September. Now, this September, if you look, there is not a percent deficiency above 3 percent. All of our -- of our interpretations fell somewhere in between 100 percent and 70 -- 97 percent, right on the money. We didn't have a lot of issues reported and so we can see that this approach to divvy up the workload is really helping.

We also expanded the pre-scheduling process to better meet adjudicator needs. So the statewide calendaring unit maintains a list of languages that we have had issues getting an on-demand interpreter for in the past and they pre-schedule an interpreter for our hearings if we know that one is going to be needed. So we know that they're right on the line when the claimant dials in.

But there -- they have strict parameters that they operate within. And there were outlier issues, like where, you know, there was one particular case where normally we'd be able to get an interpreter on the line very easily, but they've had issues. The case had to be continued, which is always our last ditch. We do not want that to happen by any means. And even unique circumstances.

2.4

So as an example last month we actually had a case where a claimant spoke one language and a witness that would be providing testimony spoke another language. Now that we have this relationship up -- ship up -- set up with Adjudication, they know that they can call us any time that they want to schedule an interpretation in advance. So we have it set up well in advance of the hearing so that when they called in and provided the number to the operator, they had both interpreters on the line at the same time and it went off flawlessly. So just little -- little factors like that where reaching out and really trying to collaborate has really become successful for us.

As you may or may not know, I did not before I started doing this, when a limited English proficiency individual is in a hearing and an interpreter is on the line, they only interpret when the judge is speaking to the claimant and when the claimant is speaking to judge. And while that's great, it bothered me.

So I was doing a lot of digging. I knew that our Virtual Hearing Center was within the WebEx platform.

And I started to -- doing some digging and found that

there is a WebEx translation license where when you enter into WebEx, right as you log on there's a drop-down with 100 different languages that you can select from. And when you select the language, it then uses closed captioning and captions in realtime everything that is said. Now, it's AI intelligence, so granted, there's probably going to be some bumps in the road.

That being said, this translation does not replace an over-the-phone interpreter for court records, so that person will still be there. But in having this closed captioning on the screen, isn't it wonderful for a claimant or someone participating in one of these hearings to have a better grasp of what's going on in the whole meeting and not just when they're being spoken to.

So we selected five judges that have the highest LEP individual caseload, and those five judges are going to be piloting this WebEx translation license, and we're going to see what people think and whether it gets used. And we're also hoping that it'll help push people to attending more cases virtually.

So this is a slide showing claimant attendance types. I'm going to take this in case I can't see my

1.3

2.1

figures. So this, again, fairly consistent. It's gotten better. But these little 11 percents in the past four months, that's how many people attended virtually versus how many people attended by phone. So we're finding that way more claimants will just call in on the phone line instead of actually appearing on camera and interacting virtually. We're hoping that perhaps having these licenses in place where you have to be able to look at the screen in order to see the captioning may actually be a twofold benefit.

Our vision for the future. So again, we're really hopeful that this will help effect a push towards virtual hearings. Additionally, we've -- we've really started overhauling our documentation and the resources that we provide. We hope to continue to do that and we'd really like to expand our footprint on the website because we think that the information that we have is really important and helpful. We also hope to continue to leverage data analysis to improve our internal processes in addition to that holding the contractors accountable.

Every day my team strives to provide the best service to New Yorkers in the smoothest manner

1.3

2.1

1 possible by working together with the other Board units. Our efforts are at the core of the Board's 2 3 mission; protecting the rights of employees, ensuring 4 delivery of benefits and supporting compliance. Again, I really appreciate you having me today so 6 that I could share the important work my team is doing 7 for the citizens of the State of New York. If you have any questions at this time, I welcome them. 8 9 even after I leave this room, if you think of 10 something or just want to learn more about the 11 process, please don't hesitate to reach out because 12 we'd love to able to talk about what we do. 1.3 FREIDA FOSTER: Thank you, Draina, for 14 joining us. 15 JANET DRAINA: Thank you. 16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's really great. 17 Thank you. 18 FREIDA FOSTER: All right. May I have a 19 motion to adjourn. 20 PAMELA CAGGIANELLI: Madam Chair, this is 2.1 Board Member Caggianelli and I make a motion to 22 adjourn. 23 FREIDA FOSTER: Thank you. MARK HIGGINS: I second the motion. 2.4

1	FREIDA FOSTER: Thank you. All in favor?
2	ALL: Aye.
3	FREIDA FOSTER: Any opposed? I am also a
4	yes. That motion is passed. Thank you so much.
5	WHEREUPON, THE MEETING WAS ADJOURNED.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	ll .