1 Workers' Compensation Full Board Meeting Minutes 2 3 Date: Tuesday, March 18, 2025 4 Location: 328 State Street, Schenectady, NY Room 324 5 Webcast Live 6 10:00 a.m. Time: 7 8 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD Clarissa M. Rodriguez, Chair 9 Freida Foster, Vice Chair Mark Higgins (appeared remotely per Public Officers Law § 10 103-a[2][c]) Loren Lobban, Esq. 11 Samuel Williams (appeared remotely per Public Officers Law § 103-a[2][c]) 12 Linda Hull (appeared remotely per Public Officers Law § 103-a[2][c]) 13 Mark Stasko Steven A. Crain, Esq. 14 Pamela Caggianelli Martin M. Dilan 15 Arelis Tavares (appeared remotely per Public Officers Law § 103-a[2][c]) 16 Renee Delgado, Esq. Gloribelle Perez, Esq. 17 SECRETARY TO THE BOARD 18 Laura Inglis 19 GENERAL COUNSEL Keith Longden, Esq. 20 SENIOR ATTORNEY 2.1 Benjamin Jacobs, Esq. 22 GUEST SPEAKER Dr. James Tacci, WCB Medical Director 23 24

| 1 | CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Good morning. And |
|----|--|
| 2 | welcome, everyone. |
| 3 | ALL: Good morning. |
| 4 | CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you all for |
| 5 | joining us this morning. I'm Clarissa Rodriguez, |
| 6 | Chair of the New York State Workers' Compensation |
| 7 | Board. Today's Full Board meeting is being webcast |
| 8 | live for members of the public, so welcome. It is |
| 9 | also facilitated by American Sign Language |
| 10 | interpreters. Welcome, Interpreters. Thank you for |
| 11 | joining us. |
| 12 | I now have the honor of calling the 1,041st |
| 13 | meeting of the Full Board to order. Madam Secretary, |
| 14 | will you please call attendance? |
| 15 | LAURA INGLIS: Vice Chair Foster. |
| 16 | FREIDA FOSTER: Here. |
| 17 | LAURA INGLIS: Board Member Higgins. |
| 18 | MARK HIGGINS: Here. |
| 19 | LAURA INGLIS: Board Member Lobban. |
| 20 | LOREN LOBBAN: Here. |
| 21 | LAURA INGLIS: Board Member Williams. |
| 22 | SAMUEL WILLIAMS: Here. |
| 23 | LAURA INGLIS: Board Member Hull. |
| 24 | LINDA HULL: Here. |

| 1 | LAURA INGLIS: Board Member Crain. |
|----|--|
| 2 | STEVEN CRAIN: Here. |
| 3 | LAURA INGLIS: Board Member Stasko. |
| 4 | MARK STASKO: Here. |
| 5 | LAURA INGLIS: Board Member Tavares. |
| 6 | ARELIS TAVARES: Here. |
| 7 | LAURA INGLIS: Board Member Caggianelli. |
| 8 | PAMELA CAGGIANELLI: Here. |
| 9 | LAURA INGLIS: Board Member Dilan. |
| 10 | MARTIN DILAN: Here. |
| 11 | LAURA INGLIS: Board Member Delgado. |
| 12 | RENEE DELGADO: Here. |
| 13 | LAURA INGLIS: And, Board Member Perez. |
| 14 | GLORIBELLE PEREZ: Here. |
| 15 | LAURA INGLIS: Madam Chair, Board Members |
| 16 | Hull, Tavares, Williams and Higgins will be joining us |
| 17 | remotely. |
| 18 | CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you, Madam |
| 19 | Secretary. Agenda Item 1, approval of the |
| 20 | February 11th, 2025 meeting minutes, Board meeting |
| 21 | minutes. You have all received a copy of the February |
| 22 | Board meeting minutes for your review. Board Members, |
| 23 | are there any questions or need for a discussion? |
| 24 | Okay. Hearing none, is there a motion to accept the |
| | |

03/18/2025

| 1 | Board meeting minutes for last month? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MARK STASKO: Yes, Madam Chair. I move that |
| 3 | the minutes be accepted. |
| 4 | CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you, |
| 5 | Board |
| 6 | MARTIN DILAN: I second. |
| 7 | CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Member Stasko. |
| 8 | MARTIN DILAN: Board Member Dilan. |
| 9 | CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. And |
| 10 | seconded by Board Member Dilan. Thank you both. Is |
| 11 | there any opposition to the motion? Okay. Hearing |
| 12 | none, Board Members all in favor, please say aye. |
| 13 | ALL: Aye. |
| 14 | CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. All |
| 15 | opposed? No opposition, I am also a yes. That motion |
| 16 | is passed. Thank you. |
| 17 | Agenda Item 2, Office of General Counsel's |
| 18 | Departmental Report. Acting General Counsel |
| 19 | General Counsel, Keith Longden, will now present the |
| 20 | Office of General Counsel's Departmental Report for |
| 21 | February 2025. Good morning, Keith. |
| 22 | KEITH LONGDEN: Good morning. Thank you, |
| 23 | Chair Rodriguez. Members of the Board, the following |
| 24 | is a statistical analysis for the month of February |
| | |

2025 of the three divisions of the Office of Issue Resolution within the Office of General Counsel.

The Adjudication Division held 17,549 hearings and resolved 11,733 cases at hearing, held 1,349

Pre-Hearing Conferences and finalized 2,234 Section 32 agreements. Of those, 2,021 were finalized at a hearing and 213 were resolved by administrative decision.

The Administrative Review Division received 920 applications in the month of February, processed 952 applications for an end-of-month inventory of 8,191 pending applications.

And finally, the Legal Affairs Division received 86 applications for Full Board Review, processed 92 applications for Full Board Review and had an end-of-month inventory of 202 applications. And that completes my report.

CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you.

Board Members, are there any questions or need for a discussion? Okay. Hearing none, may I please have a motion to accept the Office of General Counsel departmental report?

PAMELA CAGGIANELLI: Madam Chair --

STEVEN CRAIN: Move to accept.

2.1

1 CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. 2 LOREN LOBBAN: Board Member Loren Lobban, 3 second the motion. 4 CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. That's Board Member Crain and seconded by Board Member Lobban. Is there any opposition to the motion? Okay. 6 7 Hearing no opposition, all in favor, Board Members, please say aye. 8 9 ALL: Aye. 10 CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. All 11 opposed? Hearing none, I am also a yes. That motion 12 is passed. Thank you. Item 3, License Applications. 13 Senior Attorney, Benjamin Jacobs, will now present the Licensing Applications for the prior month. Welcome. 14 15 BENJAMIN JACOBS: Thank you. Good morning, 16 Chair Rodriguez, Vice Chair Foster, Board Members and 17 colleagues. You have before you recommendations from 18 the Assigned Board Panel regarding the Licensing Applications listed in Part 3 of today's agenda. 19 Under Section 24-a, John Iaconis, three-year 20 21 renewal. Under Section 50(3-d), Broadspire Services, 22 Incorporated, qualifying officer, Lisa Tortora, 23 Esquire, three-year renewal. Davies Claims Solution,

qualifying officer, Matthew Mead, Esquire,

| 1 | three-year's renewal. And Zurich Service |
|----|--|
| 2 | Corporation's qualifying officer, Todd Jones, Esquire, |
| 3 | three-year renewal. I present these recommendations |
| 4 | to the Board for your consideration. |
| 5 | CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you so |
| 6 | much. Board Members, are there any questions or need |
| 7 | for discussion? Hearing none, may I please have a |
| 8 | motion to accept the recommendations of the Panels as |
| 9 | presented? |
| 10 | PAMELA CAGGIANELLI: Madam Chair, this is |
| 11 | Board Member Caggianelli and I move that we accept the |
| 12 | licensing renewal. |
| 13 | CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Is there a |
| 14 | second? |
| 15 | RENEE DELGADO: Board Member Delgado, I |
| 16 | second. |
| 17 | CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you so |
| 18 | much, Board Member Delgado. Is there any opposition |
| 19 | to the motion? Okay. Hearing no opposition, Board |
| 20 | Members all in favor, please say aye. |
| 21 | ALL: Aye. |
| 22 | CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Thank you. |
| 23 | All opposed? Okay. I am also a yes. That motion is |
| 24 | passed. Thank you, Board Members. |
| | |

1 Agenda Item 4, Legal Appeals. Keith Longden will 2 now present the recommendations concerning recent 3 decisions by the Appellate Division. 4 KEITH LONGDEN: Thank you, Chair Rodriguez, Members of the Board. Since the February 2025 meeting 6 of the Full Board, the Appellate Division, Third 7 Department has decided five decisions involving 8 appeals from Board decisions. Those five decisions 9 are located in Items 4A through 4E of this month's agenda. And it's the recommendation of the Office of 10 11 General Counsel that those agenda items, those 12 decisions in those Items 4A through 4E be adopted as 1.3 the decisions of the Board. 14 CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you, 15 Keith. Board Members, may I have a motion to accept 16 the recommendations of the Office of General 17 Counsel's? 18 GLORIBELLE PEREZ: So moved. 19 MARK HIGGINS: Yes, Madam Chair. 20 GLORIBELLE PEREZ: Board Member Perez. 2.1 MARK HIGGINS: Board Member Mark --22 CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. 23 GLORIBELLE PEREZ: Thank you. 2.4 MARK HIGGINS: -- (audio fades). Move to

| 1 | accept the recommendations of General Counsel. |
|----|--|
| 2 | CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Board Member |
| 3 | Higgins, there was already a motion so you'll be |
| 4 | seconding. Thank you. |
| 5 | MARK HIGGINS: Okay. |
| 6 | CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: That the motion was |
| 7 | made by Board Member Perez. Thank you. Is there any |
| 8 | opposition to the motion? Okay. Board Members, |
| 9 | hearing all in favor, please say aye. |
| 10 | ALL: Aye. |
| 11 | CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. All |
| 12 | opposed? Hearing none, I am also a yes. That motion |
| 13 | is passed. Thank you. This month there are no |
| 14 | Mandatory Full Board cases for consideration, so we'll |
| 15 | move on to our Discretionary Full Board cases. And I |
| 16 | now turn this portion of the agenda over to Vice Chair |
| 17 | Freida Foster. |
| 18 | FREIDA FOSTER: Thank you |
| 19 | CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Good morning. |
| 20 | FREIDA FOSTER: Madam Chair. Good |
| 21 | morning. |
| 22 | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good morning. |
| 23 | FREIDA FOSTER: For the cases listed in |
| 24 | Item 6 on your agenda, it has been recommended that |
| | T Company of the Comp |

| 1 | Full Board Review be granted. Those cases are 6A, |
|----|--|
| 2 | Matter of Tempur Production USA, LLC and 6B, Matter of |
| 3 | Vans Express, Inc. I move to refer the cases back to |
| 4 | their respective Panels for further consideration. |
| 5 | CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Vice Chair |
| 6 | Foster. Is there a second? |
| 7 | MARTIN DILAN: Board Member Dilan, second. |
| 8 | CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you, Board |
| 9 | Member Dilan. Is there any opposition to the motion? |
| 10 | Okay. Hearing none, Board Members, all in favor, |
| 11 | please say aye. |
| 12 | ALL: Aye. |
| 13 | CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Thank you. |
| 14 | All opposed? Hearing no opposition, I am also a yes. |
| 15 | That motion is passed. Thank you, Board Members. |
| 16 | Board Members, any other business? |
| 17 | MARK HIGGINS: Yes, Madam Chair. I'd like |
| 18 | to make a motion that the Board take special |
| 19 | recognition of the courageous return of Board Member |
| 20 | Loren Lobban. |
| 21 | LINDA HULL: Yes. |
| 22 | CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Yes, I do |
| 23 | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I second that motion. |
| 24 | CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: indeed. All right. |

1 All in favor? 2 ALL: Aye. 3 CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: All right. You are 4 officially recognized for your courageous return and we welcome you back. Love that, love that so much. All right. And with that, we will lead into our 6 7 quest speaker who has joined us virtually, and that is our Medical Director, Dr. James Tacci, who's hopefully 8 9 still on, yes? It doesn't look 10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 11 like --12 LAURA INGLIS: He's on the screen. 1.3 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, he's on the --CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: There he is. 14 15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: There he is. 16 CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Okay, perfect. 17 Dr. Tacci is the Medical Director of the Board. His 18 focus is ensuring timely, appropriate and high quality 19 care for injured workers to optimize their clinical and functional outcomes. 20 2.1 Prior to joining the Board, Dr. Tacci was a 22 medical director and residency program director at the 23 University of Rochester where he still holds part-time

clinical and teaching appointments. Previously, he

directed occupational medicine programs and served as senior medical consultant in such industries as healthcare, technology, automotive, defense, medical devices and pharmaceuticals. He also worked extensively with municipalities, school districts, utilities and local police and fire departments.

Dr. Tacci earned his BS in biology from Cornell University, MD with Distinction from the University of Rochester and PH from SUNY Albany and JD magna cum laude -- cum laude from Syracuse University. He completed residencies in general preventative medicine and public health at the New York State Department of Health and Occupational Medicine from Harvard. Thank you and welcome so much, Dr. Tacci. Thank you for being here.

DR. JAMES TACCI: Hi. Thanks, Madam Chair, for -- for inviting me. Good to see everyone again.

And thank you for inviting me to speak about this topic, which is the Governor's -- we're going to have this -- the first slide, the Governor's State of the State proposals that will directly improve the Workers' Comp system. As part of the Governor's 2025 State of the State, she proposed some key changes to expand injured workers' access to medical care, and

03/18/2025

1.3

2.1

therefore improve the system for healthcare providers who treat them.

Next slide, please. Agenda that we want to talk about today first and foremost is -- is why is expanded access important, right, why, it's -- it's somewhat foundational. But, you know, the sooner people get their care and -- and the better care they get, the sooner they'll get better, the sooner they'll get back up to maximum (audio fades) to return back to work.

It's only appropriate for me to briefly review some of the things that we've already done to make the system easier for providers and for patients. And then I'm going to dive into the State of the State proposals, things that will make it easier for providers to participate in the system, things that will assure payment that will facilitate continuity of care and then also improvements to our fee schedule.

Next slide, please. So why is expanding access to care, how does it benefit workers? First and foremost, it expands their freedom of choice for their medical provider. In a -- in a perfect world when someone gets hurt, they'll be able to go to their primary care provider. Their primary care provider

03/18/2025

1.3

2.1

will accept workers' compensation patients. He or she will be able to treat them and he -- he or she will then be able to, if they need a referral to a specialist, refer them to somebody in -- in their known and customary referral network. And the whole thing will be just as easy as it is, you know, when you get hurt or sick and it's -- when it's not work related. So it -- it increases the patient's choice of providers. It maintains their continuity of care, ideally with their primary care provider and with their other known providers.

And just to be clear, by the way, there's no mandates here. It's not -- it's not mandatory for providers to participate. We're just making it easier for them to do so. Again, it'll make it easier for them to find specialist providers, eliminate delays in their treatment and expedite their return to work. It will hope -- hopefully also return -- reduce some of their travel times over long distances. Currently, 40 percent of injured workers have to travel outside their home county to get treatment. And for folks who live in suburban or rural counties, they travel an average of 35 miles or more to get their care. And we think that -- that these inconveniences for the

1.3

2.1

workers can be, pardon me, significantly, significantly reduced.

2.1

2.4

Next slide, please. As I mentioned, the focus is to increase injured workers' access to providers.

Part of that, a big part of that, is to make the system easier for providers to use. So again, I'd be remiss if I didn't talk about a few of our accomplishments already. We've been working pretty hard the past few years to make the system more -- just more user friendly for our providers.

So next slide, please. Our actions so far to increase provider participation in the Comp system, first of all, we've -- there's the expanded provider laws, as folks know. We've also eliminated many Board specific forms, particularly when it comes to billing. That -- that was a huge obstacle. Providers didn't know if they were submitting the correct form. They needed separate IT systems in their offices and -- and billing specialists just to bill for workers' comp. And using the wrong form typically got the form rejected and they'd have to start the process over again. So transitioning to the CMS 1500 Universal Billing Form was a huge facilitator for our providers.

As folks know, we created an online system for

03/18/2025

the prior authorization process as well as for the review of requests for medical billing disputes. You know, as folks know, the prior authorization, or the PAR process, has significantly, significantly decreased the turnaround time for prior authorizations. And similarly, that -- that's been the case with -- with billing disputes as well.

This is just a quick slide to -- to indicate, the next slide, please, that the OnBoard modernization effort is largely just been moving from a paper process to an electronic process, and the efficiencies gained just from that are -- are tremendous. Those are probably our single biggest efficiencies, and it of course warrants a -- a shout-out to our folks from innovation and in ITS, et cetera, who every time we ask them to -- to do something, they're able to do it. And the online processes are just infinitely more efficient than the -- than the paper processes were.

Next slide, please. With respect to OnBoard, since we launched in 2022, we've been listening to feedback from -- from providers, from payors, from patients, from attorneys, and we've made over 75 process enhancements. And when I say we, again, I'm talking about our innovation group and our ITS group.

1.3

2.1

To date, well over -- this slide's a little bit outdated. Today I think it's 2.1, 2.2 million PARs have been handled, so it's well over 2 million PARs that have been processed through the system.

I think it's important to note that well over 90 percent of them are processed between the provider and the payor without ever escalating to the Medical Director's Office. So we don't have to -- we don't have to referee over 90 percent of those claims or those Prior Authorization requests. Fewer than 1 percent need hearings. And then of the -- of the Prior Authorization Requests that do come to the MDO, 95 percent of medication, DMEs, special services and behavior health PARs are processed on the same day, and the remaining small percentage are processed on the next day. The remaining PAR types are processed in a matter of weeks and not months. And again, by -by anyone's measure, this is infinitely better than the old paper process was.

Next slide, please. With respect to billing disputes, we -- the -- the old process, we -- we've vastly eliminated the backlogs in the HP-1 awards process. And, you know, what used to be, you know, embarrassingly probably, you know, a one or two even

24

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

year process to get billing awards resolved when there was a dispute is now down to one to two months for administrative awards to be resolved and within -- within, again, one to two months. It's no longer three months for arbitration awards to be assigned to an arbitrator. There's then, you know, a little bit of lag time to have the arbitration process, but this is infinitely, again, faster than it used to be.

We've implemented standard information requirements that are required of both parties, made it transparent to folks what they need to submit so there's less guesswork and confusion. They're published on our website. And it just has made the process much faster. We've also updated our -- our arbitrator list and processes.

Next slide, please. Now, the -- the -- the main topic of -- that I want to address with you all today, again, is the Governor's proposals in the State of the State that will again significantly, significantly, we think, improve the Workers' Compensation system by increasing the number of providers, increasing patients' access to care, expediting their recovery, and therefore return to work.

First among these, next slide, please, is the

2.1

1 so-called universal authorization proposal. And then 2 this is -- it's marked here on this slide as a game 3 changer. This is probably one of the biggest changes 4 to the system that has happened in decades, or maybe ever, who knows, but -- but it's a big one. And the -- the notion is that all eligible, licensed 6 7 providers -- so we're not changing the provider types that can practice within the Comp system. 8 9 have to be eligible to practice within the Comp 10 system. But if you're fully licensed in New York 11 State and you've gone through the -- the standard 12 process for the State Education Department and you 1.3 don't have -- you know, we're going to maintain an exclusion list, right. So if -- if -- if you're fully 14 15 licensed and you don't have any, you know, negative, 16 you know, substantial negative marks against you, you 17 will be authorized. You won't have to go through a 18 new special authorization process to be a -- a Board 19 provider. 20

We think that -- that this, again, this is a game changer. There's over 200,000 eligible healthcare providers, that's 80,000 physicians, plus the other provider types who can practice within the Comp system. Today only about 10 percent of those are

2.1

22

authorized to -- to treat workers' comp patients. I would be thrilled if, you know, 200,000 of them or 199,000 of them were participating. But even if we double or triple or quadrupled our -- even if we got 50,000 or 100,000, that would, again, double or quadruple our current number of providers. So that would make a big impact for -- for patients in the system. And again, it -- it eliminates what providers have deemed a very cumbersome system and what is also a very redundant system, because it's all information that they've already provided to the -- to the State Education Department.

The -- the next big thing is that we have made it incredibly difficult to allow Residents and Fellows at training hospitals and academic medical centers to treat workers' comp patients. I won't bore you with all of the details of -- of why it's been so difficult, but as a -- having -- having worked at a training institution for many years, I can -- I can assure you that it is. What the proposal will do, it will allow Residents and Fellows at teaching hospitals and academic medical centers to, under the supervision of a, you know, supervising physician, an attending physician, treat workers' compensation patients. And

03/18/2025

1.3

2.1

that's just like they do with regular health insurance plans and it's just like they do with Medicare and Medicaid as well.

Next slide, please. The -- the next -- I'm going to shift gears a little bit. So that -- that is what we want to do to expand the pool of providers by making it easier to enter the system. The -- the next couple things are really geared towards greater assurances that folks are going to get paid once they -- once they provide services. And they're -they're two proposals. One is that health insurers will pay during a controverted claim, during the pendency of that controversy. This has already been the rule per Department of Financial Services' subject letter several years ago, back -- dating back, I think, to 2006. And this will just codify that in law that says, once a -- a workers' comp carrier has controverted a claim, the regular health insurer will start paying for it and will continue to pay for it until -- until that controversion is resolved. And then once it is, you know, they can use the already existing *HEM system to resolve between the payors, you know, who owes who what monies based on the causal relationship of the claim.

24

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

In the meantime, patients can keep on receiving care, doctors don't have to worry about getting paid because they'll be getting paid from a source and -- and the large insurers can -- you know, can sort of battle it out in court over causal relationship and -- and who has to ultimately pay the medical bills.

The other change, and again, this has been the law for -- for decades with respect to indemnity cases, but the other change is that it will allow insurers can accept a claim for medical only cases without assuming liability for a period of up to one year. So the -- the workers' comp carrier can continue to pay on the medical only claims for up to a year not assuming liability. And then at the year mark, they have to -- they have to cut one way or another. And if they controvert the claim, again, then the -- the healthcare carrier will start to pay, et cetera. So these two things fit -- fit nicely together. And this is not entirely different than what's already been in place for indemnity claims already.

Next slide, please. So everything I've talked about -- and I know I've moved through them pretty quickly. If there's any questions, I'm happy to -- to

03/18/2025

1.3

2.1

answer them. Everything I've talked about are things that sort of build a -- a better, more user-friendly system for healthcare providers, right. As I said though, this is not -- nothing about participating in the Comp system is mandatory, right. And so back -- a movie back in the '80s, Field of Dreams, you know, the big line was, you know, if you build it, they will come, you know. And with all due respect to Kevin Costner, we're not playing baseball in a Iowa cornfield here. It's -- it's, you know, it's medicine and it's healthcare, et cetera. So we have to do more than just building it, you know, building a baseball field in a cornfield. We have to do more than just improve the system. And that is we have to provide an incentive for providers to want to participate in the system, so -- and candidly and bluntly, that means paying our providers more.

We have benchmarked our Workers' Comp system against the other 50 states. We've benchmarked our Comp system with respect to geographic characteristics, against other sort of similarly situated demographic geographies in terms of incomes and cost of doing business, et cetera. And we've benchmarked it against the largest of our health

.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

insurers in New York State for regular health insurance plans. And -- and in all of those comparisons, we -- we come up short.

So over the course of the next one to two years, you will see us increasing the fee schedule in workers' comp, particularly the fee schedule for those providers who are sort of in the trenches, the -- the nonprocedural providers in clinic who are seeing patients every day; internal medicine, family medicine, perhaps cardiology, pulmonology. Those are the ones who've been sort of getting paid the least and those are the ones who we've had the greatest difficulty or challenges in having access to for our patients. So we'll do significantly better than Medicare and we'll bring our fee schedule up to what is on par with -- with regular health insurance.

As Clarissa has said on -- on more than one occasion, you know, that your doctor shouldn't get paid less money if you break your arm at work than if you break your arm in your backyard. So we just want to bring -- bring the system back up to -- back up to par with regular health insurance.

Next slide, please. And with that, as -- as Steve Scotti frequently says, today is better than

1.3

2.1

1 yesterday and tomorrow will continue to be better than 2 today. 3 Next slide. Thanks to everybody for giving me a 4 few minutes of the Board's time today and I'm happy to answer any questions you might have. CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you so 6 7 much, Dr. Tacci, for that presentation. That was incredibly helpful. And yeah, a lot of exciting 8 9 things happening at the Board. And thank you so much 10 for your leadership and in helping us formulate all of 11 these really exciting ideas, so thank you. 12 DR. JAMES TACCI: My pleasure. 1.3 CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Okay, perfect. 14 Board Members, with that, may I please have a motion 15 to adjourn today's meeting? 16 PAMELA CAGGIANELLI: Madam Chair, this is 17 Board Member Caggianelli, and I move that we have a 18 motion to adjourn the meeting. 19 CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you. 20 there a second? 2.1 MARK STASKO: Yeah, I second. 22 CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you. 23 was Board Member Caggianelli and Board Member Stasko

with the second. Is there any opposition to the

motion? Okay. Hearing no opposition, Board Members all in favor, please say aye. ALL: Aye. CLARISSA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Thank you. All opposed? Okay. Seeing no opposition, that motion is passed. Thank you. Meeting adjourned. WHEREUPON, THE MEETING WAS ADJOURNED.